

In your are to Sport for the South of Some Himse

POLITICAL SCIENCE

Time Allowed: 3 hr.

Max. Marks: 250

Instructions to Candidate

- · There are EIGHT questions. Candidate has to attempt FIVE questions in all.
- Question no. 1 and 5 are compulsory and out of the remaining, THREE are to be attempted.
- Answers must be written in the medium authorized in the Admission certificate which must be stated clearly on the cover of this Question-cum-Answer (QCA) booklet in the space provided. No marks will be given for answers written in medium other than the authorized one.
- Word limit in questions, wherever specified, should be adhered to.
- Attempts of questions shall be counted in chronological order. Unless struck off, attempt of
 a question shall be counted even if attempted partly. Any page or portion of the page left
 blank in the answer book must be clearly struck off.

Name K. DRiech Kurnay

Mobile No.

Date ____ 21/10/2016

Signature Konthadina

1: Invigilator Signature

2. Invigilator Signature

- ¹

2

GSSCORE

(b)



1. All questions are computatory to attempt: (125-150 Words) (12.5 \times 4 = 50)
(a) Debate on Reverse Discrimination: the latest challenge to the idea of Universal Equality.
(b) Response of Developing and Least Developed countries towards Globalization
(c) There exist multiple approaches for conceptualizing National Interest. Comment
(d) Pluralist perspective on Power.
alobalitation in the words of Antony
ciddens has led to end of heography.
illes colle it as "alobal "lunge
and kenichi ohamae call it as an ela or
y world great
LADI WAT BEEN WASTON
it has led to many inequalities, theman right
this aubalitation as allowers In the words or
above and not below. Hen. In the words or
above and not personal above has
Prahap Bhanu Menta, alabalization has
benefitted 14 klutocrats and he calls it as an

An H SOFF underbedy of capitalism Hence there was a resentment from developing and LDC's. This was evident in the. Battle of seattle and occupy would street protest Prof Ramen Thakur, analyzed the Impact of alabalitation on Locis. the proved encept for few countries & rest are fuffers of alabalitation. On 1970s there are 22 Locks but today >40 LPc's. He enoused that in the last 40 years there is income shaquation. in 3rd world countries Joseph Highitz has his in his article "alabalitation and it discontent: A grd world perspective " highlighted how SAP of IMF and. his has led to imbalances in their economies. Post-colonial scholars like
Remarks

(vo

Appudaral call it as "alteral imperialisms and he mous how it was being done to via his concept of media scapes, ethnoscapes, technoscapes, financescapes etc There was increasing protest like chiapas protest in Zapótista region of Menico and hibe protest in Niyamgiri wills of orissa knows the growing discontent against from developing & LDC'S / globalitation National Interest: Approaches by According to tenry kissenger, (Netastical "National interest is the vital important interest among au and as surrival is the main interest of any nations. Many care National interest as iron law of necessity

Remarks

(C)

Ocuassical reality. Schalars like Morganteau equate Mahovalinterest with tangible that materials like military power weapons etc. They say self-Help is the ultimate solution DNeorealists: Argue like kennetz Waltz NI with "security maximitation" whereas Mearmierner with "power manimitations Dliberals: like bloodrav hilson, believes contribel States believes equate NI With "absolute gains" and not "relative gains" @ Feminish: They equate NI with Thuman Security" (or) women security" (5) social constructivistic for them it bets depends on the ideas; values and berief. finnernove has given. norm normalitation! in which he reflected how the meaning

Remarks

Lears

Stolete

 χ_{χ}

of National interest has changed over a period of time due to a larying norms.

B post Modernish: Reject the notion of hard power and its revarions with National hard power and its revarions with National interest and injulight how. It soft power interest and injulight how.

(d) Pluralist perspective of power:-

The pluralist perspective of power. believes that power is not concentrated in the hands of elites as argued by elite the hands of elites as argued by elite theorist like pareto, Mosca, chright Mills and Robert Michels who gave the concept of oron law of oligarchy.

Pluralist theory of power was

given by scholars like Robert Dall and

Mepherson charles Lindblong

Robert paul gave the concept of a polyarchy, by which he means that power is dispersed among various groups the argues that in a We Democracy like Andia & USA there was a active role of pressure groups and hence they por also possess some power. In the argues that it is the best practicable form of Democracy ! Initially he yeld that the all pressure groups exertegues po equal power and later it was criticited by. Lukes, who gave the 13rd dimensional of power - In this propounded. how some groups have more power than the other groups

To accomodate for the Lukes Criticism, charles Undblom, a agreed. that what is in practise is "deformed. polyarchy. i.e the capitalist class has more power than the normal pressure groups. (9) It was conventionally believed that what it means to achieve equality is to remove differences and equality all Ex: Democracy -> sought to give legal. equality to exeryone and dissolve the autural differences. Therridentitier liberals solution for gender equality is removing. the differents whach they call is reverse discrimination But there are many scholars who argues that equaliting all differences amounts to reserve discrimination and hence

Remarks

Not you her when when the hinds

The best of the property when the hinds



what we need hoday is identify the differences. The following are some arguement 1) Multicultivalists: They progue that identified. for cultures needs to the a special cultural right have to be given because will kyndina/ says minorities suffer from "unchoosey burden" Hence they. recommend differentiated citizenmit Difference Ferninists argues the idea of liberal feminitis to remove differences as it amounts to reglect "women hood" and Instead the argues we need to celebrate the identity of women Hence what we need is "equaliting by. differentiating. Scholars like Ir Marias young support this notion

. Attempt all questions: (250-300 Words)

 $(25 \times 2. = 50)$

- (a) Describe the three generation of rights with special emphasis on third generation's cultural rights. Analyse the debate on the universality of human rights. What do you understand by the term 'Right to be out' in context of minority rights?
- (b) Bring out the relationship between legitimacy and authority. How is legitimacy derived from (i) service of those being governed (ii) from a free and rational public discourse? How do well meaning and appropriate institutions and procedures impact political legitimacy?

The Human Rights are given to the humans by virtue of person being a human. It have branscends territorial boundaries. The three transcends territorial boundaries. The three generation of right related to perclution of generation of right (UDHR)

the tuman right (UDHR)

Human Right

ist generation right: - There are also known as political right: - There are also known as civil and economic right: - Ex Right vote, Right to participate in elections etc. - Experimental right: - If 1st generation right: - If 1st generation right: - are based on the ideology of the liberalism are based on the ideology of the liberalism. They can are:

social and economic equality with Helsinki social and ec these right are formally incorporated into the HR. grd Generation right. These rights are also called as "solidarity right" (or) "cultural. right". It was mainly the demand on the 3rd world countries. It bought issues like environmental degration, development of and societies pocheties, respecting cultural. differences etc. Many scholars like Will Kymclicka, Buikhu parekh au endorse these right will ky malika prescribes self sourch s governing rights and, poly etninic rights Buikhu parekh extends this even to immigrant The relatio debate of universality of BUN the terman rights can be analyzed in the context of Humanitarian intervention/ Responsi -bility to protect

The international community has took a lead to save the humanitarian crises like generide etc by diluting the concept of sovereignity. But the se It was essentially derived from 'the But selection use of thit became controversial. Ex: Not in Israel but in libya Because of the UNGA president DESCO Brockmann called R2P as "Right to intervene" and "calonialism in other form" The universality of Human Right was got (1) philosophical backlash from communitarians (2) political backlam from post colonials. Post calonialists argue the universality of the as "cultural imperialism" by highlighting the lethno centricitm" in the. This was evident in the debate of "Asian Values' propounded by Lee Kvan Yew. and Mohammed, which argues that the traducts eastern society are defreient from western and are based on solidarity to yoully etc. Hence, there much not be universality of HR.

communitarions argues we cannot have a universal notion of the because the notion differs from community to community because we are all embedded sect."

But the debate seems to be followed with the signing of Bang kok declaration with the signing of eastern socities in which the ministers of eastern socities in which the ministers of eastern socities identified the HR along with Asian values.

Right to be out:

one of the main criticism of the communitarians is that they dissolve the communitarians is that they dissolve the wisnes of identity and individuals in the wisnes of community scholars like Isaih name of community scholars like Isaih name of community scholars like Isaih rejected the notion of ilauthral relations and upheld "value pluralism"

Is ferminish are also sceptical about multicultival rights because the traditional practices of our cultures undermines women. Hence they proposed "Right to opt" by when they knear the individual snaw the right to please a certain tradition or ritual. of the community. if it he feels it is more harmful without looking the identity membersup of the community. To put it otherwise it meaning identifying minimum batic rights as liberal markanthralish like will kynaika would endorse, (b) like all concepts legitamacy and authority are also contested in political science. The scholars like Max Weber, Authority is the legitimate use of power thence according to him legitimacy is like beauty lies in the eyes of beholder. It we conceive tegitomacy as naked sword then authority is the scabbard that provides legitornay to sword

1) If command is based is on motivation and consent is based on will then o legitimate point DIFF command is based on force and convent is based on fear their itteginamacy Hence Weber Leg Authority = Power + Leginamian But for scholars like Authoril Hannah Arendt, Autuarity and power are uncomparable. Autuority reflects whereas power is the ability to act in consert. Hence for Arendt, Authority can never be derived from power, and she says 11 it utterly incapable of producing it There are mainly 3 theories of legitamacy Oliberal theory of legitarnacy 2) A Discourse theory of legitamacy 3 Agonal theory of legitarnay The notion of legitomacy derived from service of those being governed is to related to liberal theory and the notion of legitomacy

derived from free and rational public discourse is related to Discourse theory (i) The "service" theory is give related the concept by Joseph Raz the rejects the earlier nothions of liberal theories based on focial. contract. He couls his theory as "instrumenta list theory of legitamacy" (or) inon Jurnitication thesis" He argues legitamacy is derived when people act according to the "I reasons that applies to them. He turther gives the notion of "pre-emphire reasons" to diminguin between "effective legitornacy and "noneffective outhority Hence based on, this notion he concludes that. legitamacy is derived when the government soing the governed But critics arque that it is difficult to find a spa consensus among the varying reasons die la changia political, focial, cultival variables

scholars like trabermas subscribes to 11 piscourse theory of legitarnacy by which he rejects that R Joseph Rat notion that political preferences are autonomous in nature. Hence he supports "radical democracy" and "deliberation" to mape preferences for which he we want what he calls an lideal speech situation. Hence Discourse theory is based on deriving legitamocy from respecting differse opions and desiberation.

But critics like Noeth solumen argues it as an But critics like Noet Juppia Beetham in has given "social scientific theory of legitarnacy in which, answered the question of the how political legitarracy has evolved and gave 3 principles to evaluate the impact of inminimons and procedures on political legitamacy 2) Rules quan be based on rationality 2 these rational rules shall reflect the "shared Values" and "beliefts There mail not be any mandatory provision that states individuals that accept & follow as rules Remarks If thes



3. Attempt all questions:

- (a) What are the feminist complains against the concept of participatory democracy? Participatory democracy suffers from functional and operational challenges as well. Explain with examples. (250 Words) (20 Marks)
- (b) What are the major arguments given by various ideological schools for sustaining inequality? Are liberty and equality opposed to each other or complementary to each other?

 (200 Words) (15 Marks)
- (c) How do critical, feminist and post-Structuralist approaches view the concept of 'security'? How do the transnational companies create a problem of extra-territoriality for different governments? (200 Words) (15 Marks)



	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
		en e Service	•	·	Commence of the second		
en de la compansión de la Compansión de la compansión				en e			
and the second of		e e wie e e grag	tronter to proper to the	entre de la companya	a de la composição de la c	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	
					•		.a
							-
			•		-		ŕ
				-			
							·
						.·	
				•	•		
-					-		
		· :					
			en i sakta kaman tenggalan Tenggalan tenggalan				e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e
			The state of the s				
· ·.							
	D						



·		٠		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	٠.	•		
•	- ** <u>.</u>	e Arriga e de la composição de la compos		• . • •	٠			: •	
		•••	**		•	•			
		· .,			•				
and the second	1,581,41					·	e después e maior Propinsión		ersens y igna
				• •	-		·		
				•			•		
-									
			•						
	-								
				·					
•					•				
								Ī	•
	i			••					•-
			. •						
					•				•
		-							
									31-7-1
ing services and services are services and services and services and services and services and services are services are services and services are services are s		•m. in the second of the secon		State State			The second second second		
en en en en en en en en en en en en									
o						•. • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
		·	•	-	• •	· · ·			



	· ·	
		· .
-		
	the state of the s	• '
		_
المنافقة الماسان	the second of	.+* *
terre to an arrange		as product
		•
		ı
·		ı
	·	ı
		ı
	1 - 1	
-	·	
•	1	
•	•	
:		
•		
	·	
	·	
•		
· · <u></u>	ļ į	[
	į	}
•		
•		
i		
	•	
		Ì
and the second s	The Court of the C	
Carlotte (1967)	the contract of the first of the contract of t	
	teriforis in in the contract of the contract o	· · · · ·
		٠ ٠٠٠ .
		.; .;
		ļ <u></u>
1. 11. 3. 10.		<u> </u>
	Remarks	



•						
. <u> </u>						
	we in the				•	•
er gerte en er er errete grote kommer						
n reduces	e agreement to green their	to provide the contract of the	Programme Communication		anigo y anality. Pito	The second secon
				•	·	
			•			
•						
			-			
1					•	
•	·					
				•		
					• .	
•		•	·			
			•	•		
			•	-		
en e	ede od konstruit operationete Sometimen operations	ing the second of the second o			and the state of the	
					en e	
		ø				
:						
· - ; -				·		<u> </u>









4. Attempt all questions: (250-300 Words)

 $(25 \times 2 = 50)$

- (a) Comment on the impact globalization is creating on human rights? How does the 'right to development' figure in this debate? Critically analyse the role played by transnational corporations played in context of human rights?
- (b) What are the moral and empirical factors surrounding the debate on global justice? What are the basic assumptions of Thomas Pogge in his theory of global justice? Describe the cosmopolitan approach to global justice.



Romarke





· · · <u>· · ·</u>		٠
• •		
		ш
		4
	·	
	-	
!		
		•
•		
· :		
		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
	The second s A second	en i en
		.*

GS SCORE



		•											
		• • •		• • • • •		• • •	••	· ·			٠.	•	
1277				•. •	<i>:</i>	÷.	• • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			, .		
									•				
	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	er van gester Gebeure									·	:.	
en <u>and</u> en da adi da	 				· 4 * 4 * *	ere e jesike			tipas etc.				
e <u>rans</u> er (aanad see La			• •					•*.,	•	•	٠.		
												-	
									٠			•	
								-	-				ļ
					•					·			
•	,												
											•	•	
						•							ł
					-								ļ ·
•										-			.
		·.· : .						. •				. ;··.	
				i Distribution		والمراجع والمراجع	 			-			
				• •		anto tempe i La companya La companya	4						
						andre et al.						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	·			•			a ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			• • •	
		·			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •								
					· .		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			•	•		
							4	:					



•		
•		.,
The transfer of the second of	and the second of the second o	
•		
-		
-		
	_	
*		
		•
•		
•		
•		
ارموا در ماده دراهیون در از موا		
eth eth to a com-		
•		



	•	
`		
	The control of the co	
	l i	
	The second section of the second section of the second section of the second section of	
		•
	Paris and the Control of the Cont	· •
Service of the service of	والمراكب والأناف والمناز المراكب والمختروم المراكبين والمناز والمناز والمناز والمختر والمناكس والمناكسون والمراكس	
** ** ** *		
		1.34
		• :
		-
	<u>'</u>	
	[· · ·	-
•		
	} ·	
		_
	·	
. •		
•		
-	1	
•		
• •	-}	
	<u> </u>	
	·	
	,	
	1	
	.	
	Alangaran Langaran and Alangaran and Ala	
,		• •
•		
end to the contract	المراكب والمبينة والمناوي والمراكب والمراكب والمنافرة والمناف والمنافرة والمنافرة والمنافرة والمراكبة والمسابق	٠.
	[PO 취급 문제가 되고 기계 지역 전쟁에 요즘 말았다. 지역 대조 등을 하세 수는 제 문에 가고 되는 가능에서 제공하였다.	
	I want to the title that there is in the side of the second second in the contract of the second second second	
	The community of the problem of the problem of the community of the commun	
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
•		
-	lacksquare (1,2), (2,3), (3	. ••. 1
• • •		
-		
	Notice Control of the Control o	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
	A Constitution of the Cons	• •



5. All questions are compulsory to attempt: (125-150 Words)

 $(12.5 \times 4 = 50)$

- (a) What do you understand by the term 'Consociational Democracy'?
- (b) Notion of liberty in Indian political thinking
- (c) What are the different criteria's used for distribution of scarce values and resources in context of Distributive justice?
- (d) Explain the concept of Equality of Capabilities by Amartya Sen.

Equality of capabilities.

propounded by Amartyasen against the formula propounded by Amartyasen against the formula propounded by Amartyasen against the formula propounded provided. Theory of resources donot the eargues that distributing resources amount to equality because resources amount to equality because resources are of no use when they donot have are of no use when they donot have capability to do something out of it.

Capability to do something out of it.

Ex. Distributing books to a illeterate person

to donat serve any purpose

pence ne sought to equalite the

people by improving their capabilities

the argues poverty is not lack of relaurces but deprivation of capabilities. Hence he us equates development with improving He uses the term runchion capabilities. denote the action Ex. Diteracy is capability and reading is function. Amartya sen theory includes both external diversities and internal. divertities. He calls these are "capability. restrictions". He gives the following Dondividual heterogenity: There are hie need no accompdate. differences among hudividuals like age, sex, disabilities et DEnvironmental diversities: To the argues a person living in cold area needs to spend

more on heating devices hence need separate

(5) social inequalities: Rich is poor et

4) Relative inequalities -> Within community

Hence his to he recommends to contract

huge data la account for différences because a palitionnem in perimis not

tame as general women in rural avea!

Equality. It was one of the main.

Central arguement in the what is known

"Equality of what debate. The

There are scholars who believe in distributive

equality, there are others who besireject

For Ex: scholors like scheffler

rect the notion of dismitutive equality and

Subscribe for moral equality. He origines

divisionity equality is against morality Even tuble scholars who argue for distributive equality vary on principle () Equality of opportunity -> scholars like Thomas, believe in this & by which he means dimibution of scarce values and qual be based on equal opportunity like meribocracy. 1 Resources - Douborkin Rubscribes to this primaple. lawls -> the believes that it how to be based on Wifference principles (4) Capabilities: Amartya sem subscribes ho this view for the distribution of values (5) principle of sufficiency - some schoolers

arque it show be based on principle Hence they different criterials, used for dimibution of scarce values and repources in content of distributive justice (a) It is said that we are living in a world of 11 Democratic confusion. There may Variants like protective Democracy, Levelopmental Democracy, Socialist Democracy, radical democracy, deliberative democracy --- It is because of these reasons it came to be called as "advectival" concept. Consociational Democracy is also q type of bemocracy where there Democracy was established due to the association/ alliance of various groups. It was one of the

methods through cohian different ethinic groups / factions can be accomodated Hence it is also known as "laccomodative" model of Democracy. We can call 'Federali'em a variant of the obsociational Democracy. this model the individual unity have their special identity intact. to our contributional provisions like Schedule 5 and schedule 6 can cited as an example o that reflects the spirit of consociational. Democracy. . Since the heard government is an association it can anytime break away thus this model is prone to instability



the can trace the notion of liberty in Indian political thoughts of Various Indian political philosophers.

- Derandhi, equates liberty with swaray, by which he meant both personal liberation and political liberation. one can enjoy the liberty only e when can control his deeds
- 2) Aurobindo Grosh, equates liberty with "Saatchianand" which can be achieved by performing 4299.
- 3) Scholars MN Roy streets on education

and reason and morality for achieving.



Frue liberty.

Ambedkar halks about liberty from

the perspective of Dalits. He finally

gave them a call to leave tuinduism

to attain liberty.

The notion of liberty as anvisaged in constitution is "personal liberty" which in constitution is "personal liberty" which the supreme court in it judgements has widened the scope incorporating even widened the scope incorporating even due process of law today. To attain true due process of law today.

Diberty it also prescribes for affirmative

action.

Whokeping in thereing to

- Attempt all questions;
 - (a) How do the new approaches in international relations perceive the concept of (200 Words) (15 Marks)
 - (b) The 'end of ideology' debate was designed to project the supremacy of liberal democratic system in theory as well as in practice. Comment. What are the different views on power distribution among the elite theorists? Explain briefly.

- (c) What are the major subdivisions or distinctions of the term "Balance of Power'? Why is the relationship between balance of power and stability of the international system highly contested and far from any conclusion? (200 Words) (15 Marks)
- " End of ideology" was started. idea of coming in the debates from 1960's. This was initially propounded by scholars like seymour. Lipset who called that there was universal

acceptance of liberal principles like democracy

But the idea was concretely putforward by

Francis Furkuyama with his end of ideology

in 1989 and later end of history; in

to reflect the ascondency of democracy thesis

But the them's came under pressure.

from various scholars

D seur critical liberals like Mcpherton

idealogy is also a theory

Remarks

harm longing who,

700 Mozn

2) famuel p tunhington, a cultural realist highlights how Democracy was now again taking a back seat in his "waves of Demorrogy 3) Fareed takorion in which book Jihad Vs Michorld highlight the there was a backlash against the Americanization of world and vaise of identity politics The theris of end of idealogy was now being challenged by Multiculturalish and post colonialists 3 The thesis now also fading challenges from Italianic fundamentalism Hence the idea of oudor ideology come to be known as upromotion project to project the supremacy of liberal democrotic theory system. in theory like <u>Democratic</u> peace theris. theory of toyle, end of history and in practice by Wallington eventh like Responsibility to protect, establish

consensus, structural adjutment programment Elite theorists:

There are different schools who support

the elite theory.

Theory: This was supported by Marrist schalars. Who believe that faiety is divided into haves and have notis. And the power is a concentrated

in hands of those who own means of production. 2 schalars like Pareto, and Mosco, has given

the theory of "circulation of elites" to represent

who control power they man the change

nappeus between for and lion. Mosca believes

power lies in the organization of parties

and is not natural.

3 suplars like Robert Michels gave the

theory of "Fron law of origonary" to man that

the power invariably concentrates in few hands.

and they control the other

a scholars like Chright Mills has given the concept of "power evite" To mow how tome people owing to their porision in social, political spheres

control others

These theories later came under pressure from pluralist theories like polyarchy of Robert Dawl etc.

(2)

Realists like Morgantheau who believes in power politics prescribe foreign of policy options like Balance of power, piplomacy. The idea of Balance of power (BOP) is based on the relation ruip between the european nations in during world hiar I and world war 2. It was based on the belief that individual nations are sovereign and each want to protect it territorial integrity. It was

an outcome of "Billional Ball mode" runt Marcophona of Anternational mathia conception of International politics. one of the It was the belief that states enter into alliances (or) counter alliances lite to always maintoin a p symmetry of power / when with it adversary to that it won't attack another classical Realists believe it was a prudent policy of states and Neo-Realin believes it subdusting was natural. one of the major subdivision of the Balance of power is Muhay assured destruction It was given in the era of Nuclear weapons and the relations of 2 superpowers during calawar. era. It was outcome of beterranc "Balance of terrory. On this states develop 2nd and 3rd strike capabilly. some of the other alminchious of Bol ar Ocollective defence

1 collective security, Weg 3 security maximitation. @ fower maninitation 5) Balancing (soft balancing I hard balancing) Bandwagoning. hilly relation between BOP and shability contented, 1) Realists believe that BOP brings automatically grability. But it jorgets the fact that there Oan also be irrational actors Ex: A Terrorists 2) some argue it is just a theory 3): Also in the cra of Nuclear weapons, thur Connot really have Bot, because no weapons can balance & Muclear Weapon Also we donot know whether. Box is working ornot until and unless we it proves to to befailed. But Karl popper, says scientific theories snaw be ppen to talsitiable. But we cannot a take of trisk because Prability is at Stake.

(a) fealism is the dominant school of the International politics. It has porrayed anarchy as the "timeless buth" of international. politice Realists asks to dely anarchy only when Newtons law of gravity

But the new approaches perceive this concept of anarchy in different perspectives

1) Subaltern realism and peripheral realism.

Scholars like Mohammed

Aayoob and Escuade believe that world.

is not anarchial but hierarchial

1) Post-realism: they believe that it was a.

social and cultural. Her revirition of do Realim.

and hence not a daring the debate 3 Social constructivisti : scholars like

Alchander hendt, believes that "anarchy

is a social construction" and he says "Anarchy is what shates make of it" (4) Gramscian School: - scholars belonging to this school like Robert cox exposes the hegemony of the dominant sensor powers in maintaing the status and by potraying Such a picture of anarchy. Hence for him it was a construction not really (5) Ferninish like maria mynes arques that the notion of anarchy is based on male Leavis and egoim as and and egoim as againt cooperation, trust of (6) Post Modernich ake Richard Ashley call it

12 (6) Post Modernins und the Website of Months of the Months of Months of the Months of the Anarchy probematiques to highlight the Country theoretical exclusions and assumptions in theoretical exclusions and assumptions in theoretical exclusions and assumptions in the present anarchy.



7. Attempt all questions:

- (a) What are the intrinsic and instrumental justifications for democracy? What is the problem of democratic citizenship and how do the elite theorists and neo liberals perceive and propose to solve it? (250 Words) (20 Marks)
- (b) Collective security is a " relative utopia -one that tries to be realistic but retains elements of fantasy'. Discuss. (200 Words) (15 Marks)
- (c) What are the competing claims made about globalization in context of international political economy? What has been the impact of globalization on internal functioning of states in context of Democratic decision making? (200 Words) (15 Marks)





•		
,		
	and the second of the second o	٠,٠
		-
. 1		
	And the state of the terms of the terms of the state of t	
المهيد بالأعياب	en la servició de la comparte de la comparta de la	1
		•
		_
		•
	·	
		J
	·	
	_	
-		
		•
•		
•		
	·	
	·	
	·	
	·	
	-	
	·	
	eng samuang an naga yan ang gasang naga jang samuang an ang kalabana an an an samuan sa pangan samuang an ang	ing. Terminan panganan pengahan
	1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	
	[The Control of the	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
	kalangan Salah diguntah di kembandan berangan di kembanan Salah di kembanan di diantitah Salah berandi. Kembantan tengan tengan berandi di diantih di kembanan berandah di berandi berandah berandi delah di dalam berandi	
		- · ·
or of the second		
· . :		
	and the second of the second o	
_	As need market	





	•	
		-
		•
e ann an		
·		
s e aceste e com	Non-recognitive and the control of t	
	The second secon	
•		
ž.		
	•	
•	· · ·	
		•
•	<u> </u>	
		-
	j	
	1	
	·	
	· 1	
	· -	
	i ·	
		٠.
**		* *
	de tributant de seu a la companio de la companio de la companio de seu de la companio de la companio de la com La companio de la com	25 G N
e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e		
		•
		•
		:
-		
). - , 		,



_ ******** _ *******		·.	. ,					· · · ·	·
•						* **			The second section of
•						•		٠	
-				•					·
			•	٠					
								•	
							-		
							-		
	٠								
-				•	•				
				-					
		0							
						• • • •			



		_	•						
				•		· · .			
						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
		• • • • • •		••••			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
			•						
		-	· went ca	2			the state of		
						•		. -	
		• • • •				*			
·.··					the total state of	Server de la little de la companya d		Server of the time	
t	an State of State	**********	esta a la potencia		الرحاد من يعرب العالم العجاز أخر ما حاد الرحاد العالم	for the season of the	e e word e leaste pr	the state of the s	
				•		•		-	
		,						-	
				-					
			v		•				
		•				•			
		•		•	•				
	.								
		•		_				İ	
			•						
			•						
		· .							
		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						1	
•									
		•							
	•					•			
				•		**		'	
							·		
					•				
								•	
	1 34 4 5 5 4 7								
···	· .	• • •				• •	:		
	1 400 A		, es la serie de la compa	Carrier St. Sec.		e de la companya de La companya de la co	Andrew State	Contract of the state of	
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •								
			· · · .			en de la companya de La companya de la co			
		400	green and a soliton of	· . · : ·					
								a ·	
	• •					water e			
•			• . •			, ,			

8. Attempt all questions: (250-300 Words)

 $(25 \times 2 = 50)$

- (a) What are the four major debates on equality? Examine the relationship between equality and justice?
- (b) What are the communitarian and feminist perspectives on justice? How does Susan Moller Okin contribute to the conception of justice by introducing the paradigm of gender in it?

According to Ronald Dworkin, is the core concern of all political theories." For Marxists it is social equality, Ferninists - Grender Equality, Multicultural cultural equality, liberals- legal equality. There may notions of equality like substantial equality, procedural equality, legal equality, moval equality, Deontological equality and Teleological equality Because of these it came to be known as "advectival" concept. There are mainly four debates 1) Equality of opportunity 2) Equality of welfare

٠.	@Equality of resources
• .	a Equality of capabilities Ideal
	Equality of oppurhunity _ competitive
	Non competitive.
	Ideal: A they talk about an ideal situation
- CAR	there is equal to
	dimensions arrorg competitive: Me can equate this meritocracy
	competitive: Me can equal things/hause show be Non-competitive: certain things/hause show be
	Mon-competition Ex Raws primary goods etc. distributed on equality objective equality
	distributed on equality objective equality
(G).	to ally of welfare - state consious equality.
(S).	bolomo to the application.
•	shool whose objective or any differences irrespective of classifiender or any differences
-	
	Bentham, supports his criticized by Ronald Dworkin and Amartya Sey
· <u>.</u> .	
	Remarks

Equality of resources: the prominent scholar or this school is Dworkin who gave this resource equality theory in his sovereign virtue the proposes the Idea of ambition sensitive auction and endowment insensitive auction. He reject grant-gate theory and proposes idea of enry test in at continous redistribution by way or insurance.

This theory was criticited by Amartyasen, who talks about capabilities and critics of buck egalitarians like Elitabeth who argues equality based on justicluck is flawed equality based on justicluck is flawed.

The was given by Amartya Equality of capabilities:

Sen his argues equality has no meaning until sen him and unless he has capability to do the what he and unless he has capability to function for him wants the gives the concept of function development is about increasing capabilities.

62 the takes divergities like individual heterogenities environmental factors, social relationships, comate d to provide for a substantial equality. Relation between Equality and Junice. since equality is a contested concept, the relationship seems ambigous. 1) For Rawls, equality is central, because his concept of Junice às fairness is dependent on equal distribution of liberties and inequalities shoul be arranged as per difference principle

2) But for Ferninist scholars like susan Moller okin the & Rauls theory is flawed as it is. based on "gendered system" thence she

property proposes by identifying differences

3. Robert Notick, argued any intervarion in the 3 principles of Junice that he gave in his

Theory of entitlement would read to inequalities

Remarks

You

because it would mean a "change in their actual porthons (4) For communitarions like Michael Sandal, Justice will have meaning only when we conceive humans as "embedded self" and hence equality needs differentiation. Hence the relationship between equality and Jurice changes as per the interpretation of the scholars are is apt example which reflects the "Democratic nature" of political science as Andrew Hacker would can for. (b) The communitarian and Ferninist perspectives. are come in opposition to the John Raws theory of sumice. lawls gave a theory of Junice when he calls as purely procedural as it is an outcome of individual preferences who are in original portion i've behind viel of Egnovance

the calls Jurice as fairness and argues

any inequalities snau be based on the difference principle communitarians like Michael Sandel, criticize the Rowls notion that right is good prior to good, in his Book: Liberalinn: The limit of Justice, he , opts no for a notion for a notion ire acord is prior to right. He's the bases his argument on the conception of that humans. are "embeded self" and not "automoded selt" as liberals would by gue. He gives the example of "French pilot" and expedition of Icrael to rescue its citizens in his BOOK-Justice, to highlight how people give more preference to community. The idea of Michael sandel is also echoed. by McIntyre who gave the concept of "narrational human being which every he means every man derives his identity from

Community The arguerness of communitarians was also given by Michael thalter, who him his Book: spheres of Julius argues that there can be no universal principle of Justice as 1 different spheres form different goods for which different principles are required due to their qued different himorical and autural exalutions. cierty /e The communican theory of & Junice is based Rejects State nuchality (2) politics of recognition ŋΜ (3) , universalism (xs) particularism (4) Atomistic self(xs) moral The feminist theory of turnice was 9 primarily given by "sucan Moller okin" in her Book: aender, Justice and Family because as site identifies the following trans in Raulstneon of Rawls in We theory always uses words like men l'he etc but not gender sensitive words like person/individual.

2) The people hawls gives a list of things that people in original porition do not know to and suprisingly sex is not among them 3 The principles of Junice do not extend, family Because of these flaws she gives here theory based on "gender and family as baric unit she criticiques how gender and family was fidelized in on the liberal theories of Turice. she argue the inequalities and unjurice that exist at the level of family due to patriarchy is the reason for all inequalities. tence it was the main concern for her she wonted to create a universal theory of Justice that extends to all irrespective of differences the argues though Michael Malter consider Sex he overcomes the gender problems in name of cultural relativism she calls here theory as 11 moral human theory of Jurice " Hence by exposing gender, patriarchy and gender biased nature of the extring theories me contributed a lot

by adding feminist dimention by add discourse of Justice.