

ESSAY

Time Allowed: 3 hrs.

Marks: 250

Instructions to Candidate

- Both sections are compulsory.
- Attempt one essay from each section.
- Each essay carries 125 marks.
- Write each essay in about 1000-1200 words.
- After finishing the first essay, attempt the next on a fresh Page.
- Any page left blank in the answer-book must be crossed out clearly.

(Examiner will pay special attention to the candidate's grasp of his/her material, its relevance to the subject chosen, and to his/her ability to think constructively and to present his/her ideas concisely, logically and effectively).

Remarks

Name Utkarsh Gantam

Mobile No. [Redacted]

Date 30/7/17

Signature [Redacted]

1. Invigilator Signature [Redacted]

2. Invigilator Signature [Redacted]

SECTION - A

1. Will man ever find peace beyond himself?
2. Mind is the greatest communication in the world.
3. The mind is not a vessel to be filled, but a fire to be kindled.
4. Educating the mind without educating the heart is no education at all.

SECTION - B

1. The Indian democracy has fallen into the 'morass of power play' and the politicians have become 'gamers' rather than 'servants' of nation and its people.
2. Economic reforms have ignored agriculture and the farmers of the country; both are, therefore dying.
3. There are not enough jails, not enough police, not enough courts to enforce a law not supported by the people.
4. Social media websites are no longer performing an envisaged function of creating a positive communication link among friends, family and professionals.

The mind is not a vessel to be filled,
but a fire to be kindled.

From the time immemorial everyone knew that everything that goes upwards, fall back. Young and old, student and teachers all of them kept this thing in their ~~memory~~ mind. Similarly a liquid ~~is placed in a~~ vessel. They all didn't think on it.

On the other hand a young man, didn't kept this thing in his mind like a vessel rather he used this fact as a ~~fuel~~ fuel to ignite fire in his mind. Rest is history. Law of gravitation was discovered by

not clear what
you want to say

by the great Sir Issac Newton.

Before discussing anything, let's see what exactly is mind & vessel and ~~for~~. Mind is ~~not~~ not only the brain but has broader connotation. It also includes humane aspect of thinking also. While brain only processes logic, mind goes beyond brain, it also perceives human values, emotions etc.

Vessel is just a container in which anything can be kept. It doesn't have discretion of its know. A vessel will treat liquor, water, poison, medicine alike.

Now let's come to the ~~most~~ question, is mind a vessel? God has made human special. God didn't give sheer physical strength but a brain which is comparatively greater in size as compared to the whole body, because of which he was able to conquer all the plant and animal kingdom. So we can say that mind is not a vessel.

Moreover all the watershed moments that we have witnessed in the human civilisation be it invention of wheel and fire, domestication

This is against Theory of evolution.
 K.
 unscientific.

7/10/21

of animals or the invention of agriculture - all of them happened because mind was not treated like a vessel by the human being who brought the change.

So ^{clearly} mind is fire. Let's see the correlation between two. Fire is very disorientational in its nature. It can destroy the bacteria or viruses, produce light and energy or can purify the things eg gold. Similarly mind can annihilate negative and bad thoughts, purify the good thoughts to better one. eg Aristotle, Socrates kindled the fire in their mind and taught humanity about ethics rather than filling their mind with the feudal and negative thoughts of their time.

Historically it has been propensity of human beings to go for the easy things, that is why mind has been used as a vessel. Because of this humans became sheep in a herd rather than individual. Hitler filled persons in the minds of all Germans which caused world war and great loss to the humanity.

Treating mind as vessel has created a lot of problems such as riots on the behalf of inflammatory speech of a leader, brainwashing done by narals, Kashmiri separatists and recently by ISIS to propagate the identity.

Now since it is very clear that mind should be fire, why we have not seen a lot of fire in the mind of people. The reason is simple lack of forepower and an igniter. We humans want ^{blind} allegiance from each other. Kindling of fire creates independence and threatens this very allegiance. Therefore it generally parents want their children to accept whatever is being taught to them, teachers want same things from students and so did ^{do} political leaders from the masses. Clearly igniter is missing.

Regarding the forepower or the fuel. It is clear that every human has different human capacity to think or do brainwashing. Einstein has IQ of 160+ but general average is around 120. Thus we all may not create same fuel

Who has not seen

avoid such expression

or we

4 avoid generalization

of fire but some fire however small it may be can create. Everyone cannot create do invention but people may solve various problems at the individual or family level. Even this act of individual has the potential to change the face of humanity.

Now let's see the present situation in the society. Education real purpose was to create lions who can travel alone in this journey of life but unfortunately it has made students sheep, who prefer to move in herds.

The basic fault lies in the primary education that is being imparted. Emphasis has been made on rote learning rather than thinking constructively. Teacher ideally should be igniter of ideas, but it has become imparters of knowledge only!

At the university or graduation level, this results into transformation of institutes into teaching centres, rather than centres of

Remarks

innovation. Where only degrees are being given. Indian education system is most affected in this context. No Indian institute is in Top 100 universities of world. India has least number of publications compared to China, USA, Russia etc.

Similarly, in the political sphere same thing is happening. Central leadership of party do not allow regional and new leaders to develop ideas, they just want them as pawns which can be moved according to their wish. Students-politics which was supposed to be platform for discussing ideals of democracy, social justice etc has become tool to create strikes, bands etc.

Therefore the need of the hour is change. Change at all the levels. Parents should motivate their children so that fire in their minds are ignited. They should not to teach their words to have blind faith in any thing. Then only they children's mind will not

7.

become needed. They will develop immunity from peer pressure, radical ideology, violence, drugs, alcohol etc.

Similarly in the education system rote learning should be discouraged. Open book examinations should be conducted. Focus should be laid on the method for arriving at a answer, rather than answer.

In the social and political sphere ~~and~~ culture of why should be developed and things should not be taken for granted. Young leadership should be allowed to form their ideals and ideology through debate, discussion rather than blindly following the high command.

We also need same change in the religious sphere. Old regressive traditions should be opposed rather than accepting those ~~negative~~ regressive things (like caste) like a vessel, fire in our minds should annihilate ~~and~~ the bad things and purify the system.

8

It is clear that becoming a vessel is
diff. easy and rather than becoming a fire
from the time to time people have paid price
paid to be it Jesus Christ, Socrates, Martin
Luther King or recently many secular bloggers
in Bangladesh.

Robert Frost has said

"The woods are lonely, dark and deep
But I have promises to keep
and miles to go before I sleep
and miles to go before I sleep"

Clearly becoming a vessel will be lonely,
and distracting as "woods". But we have to
keep our promise - promise for in lieu of
the beautiful mind and brain God has
bestowed upon us, promise of humans to the
whole ecology and environment and we have
work hard a lot for this fire to ignite.

you are trying to write
with a lot of style &
Grand ideas But it
is not working the
arguments are trivial &
repetitive - even
for example - over
interpretation of fine
allegory is wrong

Remarks

Here fire & water shows contrast
~~with~~ b/w Contiguous & placid

Information processing & analyzing is
Contiguous, while more gathering is
placid process. Our brain/mind must
work like former

Even other allegories are used inefficiently
with trivial arguments. You need to
focus more ~~on~~ during rough work to
develop credible & strong arguments.
↳ back them with examples

52

Also include - more
points/perspectives

There are not enough jails, not enough police, not enough courts to enforce a law not supported by the people.

"At its best, man is noblest of all animals separated from law and justice, he is the worst"
-Aristotle.

Man is a social animal. It started to live in society for fulfilling its needs. While living in society, it interacted with different types kinds of human beings. Debate & with interaction of thoughts debate and discussions; new ideology emerged. Similarly with time need of the man increased, it even become greed.

Due to the above factors various vested interests emerged, for whose fulfillment confrontation arised between different human beings. Even violence was used to harm fellow human being. Thus the concept of law emerged.

Why you want to always comment on entire human history & its evolution

Remarks

when you donot have clear understanding of it. Scanned by CamScanner Also avoid this in your as strategy.

In simple words, law basic purpose is to regulate the human behaviours and actions. So that it doesn't cause harm to fellow human and to the human society. So clearly, law is devised for the benefit of human society and people living in it.

Write in 3rd person

It is said that
Now let's understand the theme of essay; that is "there are not enough jails, not enough justice, not enough courts to enforce a law not supported by the people".
In a nutshell it means, a law who doesn't have people's consent cannot be enforced however. But why people will not support a law whose basic purpose is to ease the life of life. Let's analyse the reasons and solutions.

incomplete

The very basic reason for opposition of law is that the law is unjust. Law can be unjust because of many reasons.

Remarks

avoid too many adjectives
a verb should ideally have single adjective

The presence of vested interest and not fulfillment of public interest is the most important significant reason. People in English colonies in America opposed the laws framed by British parliament because of the vested interest. This subsequently lead to American revolution. Similarly Indians opposed vernacular press act, Press act, salt & bill etc because of elements of vested interest.

Draconian law and laws hurting the basic freedom of people are also being opposed eg FERA, recent striking down of IT Act 2000 by supreme court is another example. & Sometimes laws remain same even after passage of 100 years which makes them irrelevant in the present context. Thus people do not support them. Recent opposition to section 377 of IPC is an example. This section is considered as a hangover of British colonialism and is not relevant in present.

Slogans of Boston tea party
No taxation without representation

This was reason of lack of representation in govt. admin.

BTW what do you really mean by vested interest?

who opposed it?

Remarks

times.

Similarly too much regulation and over regulation hinders the socio economic development. ^{Despite} ~~even~~ ^{being} each law ~~are~~ for good purpose and having good intentions they are opposed. In our country there are various laws related to business which have made doing business a tough job. Therefore people violated them openly and ~~with~~ collusion with law enforcing agencies through bribery, corruption, nepotism, favouritism.

The other main reason why law are not being supported is because people are unjust, or the majority is unjust, sometimes it is the powerful people who are unjust.

? The recent ^{opposition to} ~~of~~ Jallikattu is an ideal example ^{of mismatch between} ~~of~~ people aspirations and ideals of justice. Because of atrocities on animals by handful of people, whole cultural practice of jallikattu was banned.

→ do you have any idea, what really happens during jallikattu. Compare this to other cases where animals are used
 → ~~where we have~~ Transport, Races

Jolikatu was criticized by people, because SC was selective in its activism 14

which created anger among the public people who have not violated animal rights. Hence we saw people coming out in open to defy the ban imposed.

Similarly due to vested interest of some section of society leads to not supporting of act. The recent opposition to triple talaq shows the standoff between vested interest of ^{small} male section and progressive law. Triple talaq gives excessive power to males in marriage which is being abused and infringes upon the right of women. Now because majority is against the any law so it will become difficult to deliver social justice even if a law is being enacted.

On the similar lines dowry prohibition act in our country has failed because people do not support it. Though there have been some convictions in post marriage cases, incident of dowry before finalisation of marriage is still a common phenomenon.

Remarks

Good example

It is said that " command wisely so that you will be obeyed cheerfully".

We need people consent so that laws ~~are~~ being can be enforced effectively.

In case of any unjust present law, inputs from people should be taken and feedback should be weighed on the parameters of value nation interest, public freedom and liberty, economic propriety and suitable amendment should be done. Various labour laws, environment laws, Indian evidence act 1872 needs revision. Malimath committee 2003 has recommended framing of laws into four categories viz. social offence, economic offence, correctional law and Indian penal code. Similarly supreme court has term 498A (domestic violence) has legal terrorism and asked government to check its misuse.

6

Similarly in the areas where society is preventing a progressive legislation debate and discussion is the only option. We cannot impose a law on people especially in social sphere without their will.

Building of consensus can be achieved through NGO and civil society organisation. Highlighting the plight of section of society who is suffering because of lack of law (eg. women in case of triple talaq) can bring some change in minds of people on humanitarian grounds. Foot in the door technique can also be applied in which a small law can be enacted which can be strengthened in the future after assessing its performance. This technique was successful while passage of Hindu code bill when it was divided into four parts.

For improving the quality of discussion in parliament regarding any bill, the onus

lie on the citizens only! If they select good leaders on the basis of their merit and ~~not~~ on their ideology rather than just by merely voting their ~~ball~~ because instead of choosing leaders because of their caste and religion considerations, good laws are bound to form.

Since Democracy runs by persuasion and not by force, good laws are necessary which are just, ethical and follow principle of natural reasonableness. Moreover we should also aim for building the moral fabric of society ^{to such an extent} so that social empowerment, economic empowerment and social justice is delivered without the help of law by really educating our ~~my~~ people. This will ease the burden of law enforcement agencies and will accomplish the ~~idea~~ thought that

"Law is needed for bad people, good people die in guilt"

Remarks

all the
Comments made
in previous essay
are valid.

~~Science~~

use of
adjectives for many
allegories.

The

Comments
inside
essay

50

Remarks

