

POLITICAL SCIENCE

Time Allowed: 3 hrs.Max. Marks: 250

Instructions to Candidate

- There are EIGHT questions. Candidate has to attempt FIVE questions in all.
- Question no. 1 and 5 are compulsory and out of the remaining, THREE are to be attempted.
- Answers must be written in the medium authorized in the Admission certificate which must be stated clearly on the cover of this Question-cum-Answer (QCA) booklet in the space provided. No marks will be given for answers written in medium other than the authorized one.
- Word limit in questions, wherever specified, should be adhered to.
- Attempts of questions shall be counted in chronological order. Unless struck off, attempt of a question shall be counted even if attempted partly. Any page or portion of the page left blank in the answer book must be clearly struck off.

(11)

excluded
13 22Better if you write more
points to be selectedName MATTHEWS

Mobile No. _____

Date _____

Signature [Signature]

1. Invigilator Signature _____

2. Invigilator Signature _____

SECTION A

1. Answer the following questions: (150 Words Each) (10 * 5 = 50)
- Enhanced role of India in Afghanistan.
 - US-China Trade War and its possible impact.
 - A short note on India-South Korea Relations
 - Doklam Issue: Lessons Learnt.
 - India Maldives Relations: Need for activism with caution.

1. a) Afghanistan is India's bridge to C. Asia, which helps in energy security. It is a hotspot for terrorism which perpetually plagues India. Economic opportunities are also opening up.

For this reason, scholars like Shashank Joshi have called for an enhanced role for India.

Traditionally Indian role was limited to big developmental projects, small capacity building measures, humanitarian assistance and democracy building. India has built the Salma Dam, Pul-e-Kamri power line to Kabul, Afghan Parliament, protein biscuits supply, etc

Remarks

Recently India gifted Mi-25 helicopters to Afghan military which is seen as an inflection point for strategic engagement.

However, Ashley Tellis has warned of difficulties. The security environment is precarious, with government controlling less than 60% territory. ~~*about recently~~
Taliban rejected call for ceasefire. National Unity government is facing a legitimization crisis due to clash between Abdullah Abdullah and Ashraf Ghani - Donor fatigue is causing an economic crisis.

The way forward must be multilateral engagement, due to India's geographical and resource limitations. China, Japan and Russia have shown willingness while USA explicitly mentions India in its 'New Security Strategy'.

INSTC (North South Corridor) & Chabahar Port are good starting points
 (Iran)

Remarks

After 5-6 months
 + enhanced
 major
 market
 what are we doing
 associated with SP
 preventing inflow
 (5)

b) US administration recently hiked the import duties for steel and aluminum products by more than 25%, mainly targeting China. China has put up ~~up~~ retaliatory tariffs.

This trade war can have global implications.

At a systemic level, it promotes protectionism, hampering globalization. Realist scholars like Randall Schweller classify US as a status quo power and China as a revisionist power, which makes conflict inevitable. This can provoke an arms race and a new cold war affecting diplomatic autonomy of countries like India.

At a national level, India is directly impacted by the import duty hike. Steel giants like Nucal and Tata have considerable

Remarks

Steel exports.

At a subregional level, its impact would be to sharpen US-China rivalry, especially the Indo-Pacific and South China Sea. India is a part of SCO with China, and the "Quad" with USA which can create contradictory pressures. India's growing closeness with US, seen through LEMON, Malabar exercises, acquisition of ~~\$2 bn~~ \$2 bn worth of Guardian drones, etc can be seen as hostile actions by China.

Former NSA M K Narayanan has advocated embracing closer relations with US, while G. Parthasarathy calls for balancing both sides, which seems more pragmatic.

~~Don't go generic
few negotiable
or conver. possible
expect for all
stakeholder
global order~~

Remarks

c) South Korea is an economic powerhouse, and a tech and industrial giant. It is a regional rival of China and is a close ally of USA.

Until 1990s, India-S. Korea relations were negligible because of

- ① Cold war. India was with NAM and USSR, while S. Korea was a close ally of USA.
- ② India preferred import substituting industrialisation & autarky while S. Korea preferred an export led growth

Due to factors like end of cold war, India's economic liberalisation, globalization and geopolitical compulsions against China in Asia has forged closer relations.

Economic relations are the cornerstone. S. Korea is a major

Remarks

investor - best seen in mega investment of ~~Posco~~ Steel plant in W. Bengal, industrial corridor etc. Bilateral trade has not been significant despite complementary economies. India's uncertain policy environment and restrictions on services in Korea are major causes.

India has openly criticised N. Korean nuclear testing, and lauded the recent rapprochement with Panmunjom declaration. There are no bilateral strategic irritants, yet strategic cooperation has been & negligible.

India as a part of G-4 is a rival of S. Korea for the UNSC seat.

The way forward must be to deepen diplomatic and military engagement. Cooperation in multilateral fora like FNS and RCEP can be used for economic ties.

Remarks



Two examples of some of the recent engagements
what can be envisaged
area of cooperation.

d) Doklam is the trijunction of India-China and Bhutan which was territorially claimed by both China and Bhutan. India came strongly on Bhutan's side mobilising its military along the border, which forced China to back off.

India has learnt several lessons from this confrontation. As realists like Mearsheimer says, there is no substitute for military power. Due to close engagement ~~as~~ in Indo Pacific, countries like Japan declared open support. US, France, etc released statements supporting respect for sovereignty - which is India's stand. This showed ~~diploma~~ activist diplomatic engagement can bring rewards.

Contrary to popular perception, India simultaneously opened Tsach-II negotiations with

Remarks

China, which quickly defused the situations. China has recently supported a "2+1 dialogue" with dealings with India's neighbour. This showed the importance of linkages between P2P, B2B academia and the DOD level talks.

As ~~Ex-Asst Secy John G. Gauner~~ argued, while India is no pushover, the peace in border will not survive an assertive China. China's fighter jets, destroyers and submarines outnumber India 4 to 1. China is also India's second largest trading partner with \$ 51 bn deficit.

Therefore, India must focus on interdependence, while simultaneously engaging neighbours and other strong allies like 'Quad'

Jointly
Partners
near peers
Bilateral
relations
with
strategic
partners
Bilateral relations with
global support
Asia
India
Russia

Remarks

(3)

India
Russia
China

e)

Maldives is a part of 'Neighbourhood First' strategy for India. It is an essential part of SAARC, BIMSTEC, IORA, SCAAR, MauSam, etc. ~~Its recent~~

- Recently Maldive signed FTA with China and received India's Dhruv helicopters. It has pulled out of Nilan exercises with India. President Gayoom has also declared 'Emergency' against the Supreme court order supporting ex-President Nasheed, who was considered close to India. This has shown a bias against India, and towards China.

There have been calls for Activism, with opposition parties in Maldive asking for Indian military intervention against the subversion of democracy.

Remarks

But the pragmatic approach would be tempered with CAUTION. India has been accused by smaller neighbours like Sri Lanka and Nepal of micro-managing their domestic affairs. It paves way for India bashing for later nationalists.

Also, it can lead to one group identifying itself as pro-India & anti-India permanently as seen in Awami League and BNP of Bangladesh.

Military solution can lead to disaster as seen in IPKF experience. It would also unnecessarily antagonize China and create apprehensions among neighbours.

Way forward must be to facilitate dialogue between government and opposition. China is also playing a mediator role, which can be brought on board.

Remarks

(4)

fourth party
in China
parties
will have to be
too much
or mediated

2. Attempt all questions:

- (a) "Whoever controls Indian Ocean, dominates Asia." Examine the statement in context of need for greater cooperation between democratic powers in Indo-Pacific region.
(200 Words) (15 Marks)
- (b) New Delhi will need to start delivering on the ground if the India-Africa partnership has to move beyond high level visits. Explain. (200 Words) (15 Marks)
- (c) In the light of Contentious issues between Indian and Nepal, discuss nature of engagement between both. Is it correct to say India lost geopolitical space to China in Nepal?
(250 Words) (20 Marks)

2.(a) Donald L. Bealein of US Naval War College declared that Indian Ocean will be the "strategic heartland" of the 21st century.

Its importance comes from its strategic location. 70% of India's energy imports and most of trade by volume passes through it. Chinese foray through the BOR, Maritime Silk Route and Kao canal show that Chinese presence will only increase. "String of Pearls" military bases of China from Cartagong to Gwadar and Djibouti has created fear of encirclement of India.

At the same time, there

Remarks

is huge potential for cooperation among "democratic" powers of USA, Japan and Australia.

They have collectively formed the Quad. All have similar geo-political stakes in the region. US considers the 'Indo-Pacific' as key to the 'Pivot to Asia' strategy. Japan is wary of Chinese assertiveness, as seen in Senkaku/Diaoyu dispute. Australia has identified India as a key partner in its Navy white paper, giving refuelling facilities for India.

India's present policy is ~~not~~ to engage in strategic engagement with the Quad, as seen in the Malabar exercises. Cultural component is added through Project Mausam and TORA. India has signed LEMOA with US and is considering COMCASA and BICA.

Military deals like Guardian

Remarks

The ~~quad~~ & work out
or ~~quad~~ or ~~quad~~
and as we
opportunities of
institutions of
east and west
regarding India
specially ①

drones and US-2 i.aircraft " also underway.

While there is convergence in interests for security cooperation the way forward is not to unnecessarily antagonise China which is the largest trading partner of all Quad members. PM Modi also recently reiterated this.

b)

India and Africa share historic and cultural ties. It is very rich in natural resources, helping food security and energy security. It has 6 of world's fastest ~~soor~~ growing economies as per World Bank, showing potential for ~~soor~~ trade and investment. Diplomatic support for UNSC and NSC membership is also valuable.

* India's present approach was mostly "developmental"

Remarks

India has initiated technology and capacity building programme like ITEC, Team 9, AARDO rural development, etc. Solar Mamas and ~~* ISA (solar alliance)~~ are decent areas of cooperation.

However, as C. Rajamoham has pointed out, there is a wide gap between professed objectives and ground results and reality. There have been huge time and cost overruns. This has paved way for countries like China to outdeliver India increasing its economic and diplomatic footprint exponentially. For example, China-Africa trade is more than \$200 bn while India-Africa trade is only \$72 bn.

The way forward must be a commitment for time-bound service delivery. Engagement with other like minded countries like Japan, as seen in the

Remarks

~~recent Asia Africa growth Corridors is a good start. China has also expressed willingness for cooperation which can be engaged whenever possible. G2G dealing must be supplemented by B2B and people to people linkages. Africa studies has been relatively neglected.~~

~~Also, the present focus on capacity building and "developmental approach" must be stepped up to political and security cooperation especially in the Indian Ocean region. India must engage African Union as an entity as well.~~

~~good relations
between
of developed
countries~~

~~Role of India
in the last few years
compared to
which we choose
to challenge the
Chinese role
(China's diplomacy)~~

Remarks

C)

India and Nepal has had long historical linkages. Its porous borders have led to intermingling of cultures. Nepal is a part of Neighbourhood First policy, SAARC, BBIN, BIMSTEC, ~~BEN~~, etc. India is Nepal's largest trading partner and crucial transit corridor for its exports.

Yet, there has been several contentious issues.

* Michael Mandelbaum's strong state/weak state pattern is applicable for India and Nepal, with Nepal being to distance and being suspicious of Indian actions. Indian diplomats ~~etc~~ have been accused of trying to micromanage domestic affairs. Nepal is dissatisfied with the Friendship Treaty which is considered anachronistic. It denies it autonomy in foreign and

Remarks

military affairs.

India is dissatisfied with the 2015 ~~new~~ Nepal constitution which discriminates against the Terai region. The consequent Madheshi blockades and India's poor response worsened relations.

India considers Nepal as within its exclusive sphere of influence and often overreaches whenever Nepal politicians play the China card; India is unhappy

~~There is dissatisfaction in power sharing agreements. India has refused to buy power from China built projects.~~

~~Nepal's domestic politics especially nationalists and Maoists have often resorted to India bashing.~~

~~Given for these reasons India is said to have geopolitical space to China. China has signed a Transit agreement with Nepal.~~

Remarks

It has recently conducted military exercises. Nepal is a part of OBOR. China is involved in infrastructure creation, especially in power sector.

However, as SD Muni pointed out, India need not press the panic button with each engagement with China. India's approach is solely geopolitical and takes an exclusively security perspective. India must move towards an environmentalist perspective to build cooperation. Nepal studies and open-border tourism must be promoted. India must assure Nepal's identity and interests will not be compromised, increasing trust while showing the inevitability of geography, which can be beneficial.

Remarks

⑧^{What mistake did India make in its foreign policy?}
 1) India's foreign policy is based on the principle of non-interference in the internal affairs of other countries.
 2) India's foreign policy is based on the principle of non-alignment.
 3) India's foreign policy is based on the principle of peaceful co-existence.
 4) India's foreign policy is based on the principle of self-reliance.
 5) India's foreign policy is based on the principle of democracy.
 6) India's foreign policy is based on the principle of socialism.
 7) India's foreign policy is based on the principle of capitalism.
 8) India's foreign policy is based on the principle of imperialism.
 9) India's foreign policy is based on the principle of colonialism.
 10) India's foreign policy is based on the principle of霸权主义 (Bā quán zhǔ yì).

Attempt all questions:

- (a) Examine to what extent BRICS has been successful in fulfilling its objectives and what new measures are needed to protect its relevancy.

(200 Words) (15 Marks)

- (b) Discuss the various dimensions of 'Action Oriented Partnership' of India with Japan.

(200 Words) (15 Marks)

- (c) What are the challenges associated with adopting an aggressive strategic approach towards Pakistan on the issue of cross border terrorism as a long term measure.

(250 Words) (20 Marks)

4. a) BRICS refers to an economic grouping which was estimated to grow faster than the G-7 by 2050, in a paper by Goldman Sachs -
Its main objectives are to promote a multipolar global order. They call for reform of west-led financial institutions like IMF and World Bank. It wants to promote economic and diplomatic cooperation on wide range of issues from WTO to climate change. It seeks to promote North-South and South-South cooperation.
It has had some success

Remarks

to its credit. They have established the Bank for International Settlements to ensure investment and funding for infrastructure and development. They have come up with the Joint statement against Terrorism. Economic ties have also increased, with China becoming the biggest trading partner of most members.

However, Joseph Nye predicted that BRICS will not become a serious political grouping because of diversity of members and significant geopolitical fault lines. India and China are rivals. Size of economy is very different, with China's GDP five times that of India and more with others. BRICS members are "rule-takers" rather than "rule-makers" with role limited to "non-decision making". Haresh Pant agrees, saying it is now struggling to retain relevance.

However as Ashok Sarker argues, it has huge potential and

Remarks

Russia
China
Brazil
India
South Africa

can regain relevance through reforms. Functional approach through trade and investment can reduce internal tensions. Evolving a common position on global challenges like Terrorism and Climate Change is necessary.

Informal meetings on the side of recent events at Sochi or Wuhan must be continued. Peer diplomacy of regional units can have high potential, because the states do not affect by geopolitics.

⑧

Talk about
WPI achievements in non-strategic
initiatives for cooperation
in power areas of cooperation

b)

India - Japan relations have undergone a paradigm shift since the end of Cold War. Japan is an economic powerhouse, the 5th largest economy; 3rd largest investor, 3rd largest aid donor. It is a strategic ally in the Asia-Pacific due to its India's a Japan's

Remarks

mutual rivalry with ~~China~~ and the absence of bilateral irritants.

The new "Action Oriented Partnership" with Japan has several dimensions:

C. Ramanathan has pointed out that despite economic potential it is strategic ~~need~~ cooperation which has brought India & Japan together. Japan has invited India to be a part of "Quadrilateral" dialogue, with US and Australia. Japan unequivocally supported India's sovereignty (e.g. during Doklam crisis) and issued joint statement against terrorism. Malabar exercises & the recent joint ~~Vision 2025~~ statement are positives. Military deals like the US-2i aircraft is becoming key, with the new security outlook of PM Shinzo Abe.

Infrastructure investment is a major component. A special desk has been formed in Commerce ministry for Japan. Japan is already part of DMIC and other upcoming industrial corridors like DFCCBIC etc.

Remarks

Private investment from Japan has been facilitated as seen in the recent deals of Soft Bank.

Present Bilateral trade is expected to reach \$ 50 bn by 2020; however, present trade isn't much.

Joint international forums like the Asia Africa Growth Corridors has been envisaged.

Civil nuclear cooperation is a major component, because of Japanese expertise in Calandria reactor vessels.

Reform of international institutions like ~~the~~ UNSC is envisaged through participation in G-4.

However, the present relations especially economic ties are much below potential, when compared to China-Japan trade of \$ 350 bn trade. Though cultural component is emphasised, tourism has not picked pace. Red tape in Indian economy is cited as a major

Remarks

Hurdle for investment.

Despite this, a neorealist perspective of the "structures" of international politics predicts closer relationships, according to Harsh Pant.

(i) ~~we need to work
on relationship
and on talks about
visits and
border~~

c) After the Levi and Panthkot attacks, India has adopted an aggressive stance on Pakistan and terrorism. Pakistan continues to support anti-India groups like LeT and JuD. Hafiz Saeed and Masood Azhar has not faced any meaningful action. Kashmir valley is more restive in recent years, and India sees a Pakistani hand.

India's policy towards this has been

(i) Low level military action like "surgical strikes" and "Bullets"

Remarks

"moataz for bullets" approach for cross border firing.

② Intense campaigning in multilateral fora e.g.: Berlin declaration against terrorism

③ Engaging traditional allies of Pakistan like Saudi Arabia, UAE, Turkey and Iran to weaken its ideological & financial support e.g.: Recent joint statement with Saudi against terror.

But such an approach has challenges.

It weakens the democratic elements in Pakistan, lowering chance for "democratic peace". Ayesha Siddiqui shows aggressive moves of India only strengthen the influence of military and the conservative elements.

It draws Pakistan closer to China which is China recently allowed Pakistan to manufacture JF aircrafts and the \$60bn CPFC violates Indian sovereignty.

Remarks

It will only incentivise disruptive behaviour in Afghanistan SCO, SAARC, etc where India has significant stake.

Even in utilitarian terms, an aggressive approach has not stemmed the terror activities or propaganda, but only fuelled it.

~~• M K Narayanan~~ the ex-NSA argues that Pakistan should not become the centrepiece of India's policy. Conventional tit-for-tat is ineffective. He advocates evolving a "counter-force doctrine" and developing "cyber security & warfare" capabilities.

Rajesh Basu advocates increasing people-to-people ties. Military-level engagements like DAMO talks must be promoted because they hold the key to peace. At the same time, US and China can be engaged in areas like Afghanistan, to give them an equal stake against terrorism.

Remarks

Talk about
loss of lost
attitude in retaliation
possible negative
internationalization of
the situation which
neither India nor I want

(10)

SECTION B

Q. Answer the following questions: (150 Words each) (10 × 5 = 50)

- (a) 20 years of BIMSTEC.
- (b) Is there a decisive shift in India's Palestine Policy? Discuss.
- (c) The Potential for South-South Technical Cooperation between India and the Latin American region
- (d) Issues in India-USA bilateral trade.
- (e) India and Regional Comprehensive Economic Partnership (RCEP)

5a) BIMSTEC is a group of countries surrounding the Bay of Bengal mainly. It consists of India, Bangladesh, Bhutan, Nepal, Myanmar, Thailand and Sri Lanka. It links S-Asia and S.E Asia.

BIMSTEC has focused on economic and technical cooperation. An FTA in goods has been signed. FTA for services and investment is under negotiation. It has identified 10 priority sectors including Tourism, Energy, Agriculture. People to people linkages is a special component. Infrastructure agreements like IMT, Tribilateral highway and Kaladan project has been signed.

Remarks

BIMSTEC has had renewed importance to India in the background of the dysfunctional state of SAARC. India is free from rivalry with China and Pakistan. The presence of Myanmar and Thailand serves as a link to ASEAN. It is also instrumental for developing India's North East, and easing the choke of the Chittagong Siliguri corridor.

Despite its potential, the progress has been slow. Delays in implementation of projects like Kaladan and hesitations of Bangladesh to provide transit agreement are reasons. Intra-region trade is only 5%, while for East Asia, it is 35% of total.

Therefore BMSTFC deserves a higher place in foreign policy priorities.

Palestine policy of India was always tied up with India's Arab

Remarks

Policy, suspicion of US motives and distaste for the ethnonationalism based on Religion of Israel. Support for Palestine was advocated by Gandhi and Nehru.

Since the end of cold war and economic reforms in India, India's policy has changed.

C. Rajamohan views the abstention at UNHRC vote in 2015 > 16 as a paradigm shift away from Nehruvian moralism and idealism, towards a realistic foreign policy. Israel consistently supported India on Kashmir, supp helped during Kargil War and now has become 2nd largest defence supplier. It provides high end arms like Phalcon AWACS, co-development of Barak -8, and agriculture techniques for micro irrigation. PM Modi's visit ~~over~~ to Israel was declared as the final evidence for it.

Remarks

→ India's P or West
for changes in others
for help's
for its' staff
prob countries
not so longer
intuistic about
Palestine

(9)

However, as Nicolas Blaizel argued, India's policy still retains its traditional elements. Saudi and Iran are important for energy security and the Muslim minority in India. It is important to reduce support for Pakistan and terrorism - financial and ideological.

This was best seen in the recent vote against US and Israel when President Trump declared Jerusalem as the new capital of Israel. Thus, its old policy has not been fully abandoned.

- c) Latin America is called the last frontier for Indian diplomacy though some were a part of NAM. Latin American countries often sided with the US in the Cold War. Also geographical distance and lack of democracy hindered engagement. With the advent of globalization, end of cold war,

political changes in L.America and economic reforms in India, there is high potential for cooperation.

As Deepak Bhojwani notes, there is high complementarity in energy, natural resources and services sectors, India can diversify its export destination. There is an absence of political disagreements. L.America has highly developed agriculture and food industry and expertise in storage and exportation.

Presently 16% of crude oil imports come from L.America.

Multilateral engagement is increasing, as seen in BRICS with Brazil and G-77. In the PM's 2017 visit, India was made an observer-state in Pacific Alliance. They have reiterated support for UNSC reform and NSG membership. Agreements on telemedicine, tele-education and

Remarks

e-governance show promise.

However there are stumbling blocks. The lack of direct shipping lines along with geographical distance hampers trade. China has already made deep forays into the region. Cooperation lacks a political and strategic dimension. India's high tariffs are causing them concern. There is also a deficit in language skills for Spanish and Portuguese. These must be solved to reinvigorate regional relations.

*(Talk about
not enough of
our repoter
Renewable
Bilateral
Space
not for
India)*

d) USA is India's largest trading partner with more than \$70bn bilateral trade. India enjoys a surplus of \$20bn, driven by services exports.

India's items of export range from pharma and software services, iron and steel to precious stones. US' main items are automobiles,

Remarks

manufactures, food products, luxury goods, etc solar panels, technology products, etc
 Despite strong relations, there has been some issues:

India's IPR policy is still a prickly point. US requires patent provisions beyond WTO norms. India's generic drug manufacturer and refusal to renew patents of life saving drugs have caused discontent. India is often figure in the USTR Special 301 report and watchlist. US has taken India to the WTO over Solar panels acquisition issue, whereby US alleges discrimination.

Recently US hiked the import duty of Steel and Aluminium products by 25% and more. US has demanded decrease in taxes for automobile and luxury motorcycle.

India's service sector is affected by US's new immigration laws and restrictions on H1B visa.

Remarks

~~Despite its residents~~

These irritants must be dealt with amicably to maximise cooperation.

~~Solve dispute
Sett at re. w/ g
in matters w/ g
for & sole issue~~

(S)

- e) RCEP is a regional economic grouping between ASEAN and ~~ten of its FTA partners~~, i.e., India, China, Australia, New Zealand, S. Korea and Japan.

It aims to minimise or eliminate import duties and streamline port procedures. However, there have been some issues:

~~India is worried about China flooding India with goods if import duties are eliminated. Other ASEAN countries are also primed for exports, which Indian domestic manufacturing isn't. India's north east can also be affected.~~

Remarks

~~RCEP is effectively a FTA with India and China doesn't count.~~

(3)

Attempt all questions:

- (a) Discuss India's role in Defence cooperation in SCO. (200 Words) (15 Marks)
- (b) What are the emerging complications for India in US-Iran declining relations. (200 Words) (15 Marks)
- (c) India-ASEAN relationship is more show than substance. Discuss. (250 Words) (20 Marks)

6.a) SCO is security grouping including China, Russia, India, Pakistan and C-Asian powers headquartered in Shanghai.

It is seen as a rival to NATO led by the USA. Its main objectives are to ensure security of members deal with challenges of terrorism, drug trafficking, money laundering, etc. It works to insure a multi polar world. For India, it also helps overcome access to the energy rich growing markets of C-Asia.

India's role in defence cooperation is multifaceted. RATS, the security wing of SCO recently held "Joint Counter Terror Exercises" in Russia which are a

Remarks

top priority of Indian foreign policy. Cyber security is also focussed, as seen in last year's Xiamen cyber-terrorism drill. It paves way for multilateral cooperation against terrorist individuals, as declared by Astana declaration last year. Pakistan had to declare Hafiz Sayeed's organisation as illegal to join.

It is a forum for India to highlight its security concerns, especially regarding Pakistan and China. It is a vital source of information regarding security situation in Central and South Asia. Also, greater engagement with C-Asia in defence can help create a positive climate for North South Transport Corridor.

However, SCO is a China-led institution. It does not recognise state-backed terror like that of LeT.

Remarks

(1)

Governance of
challenges &
Security cooperation
with & increased
influence of China
& Russia in
SCO

Sukhbir Badal points to 3 contradictions for India

- ① Regarding BOR and CPEC, which India opposes
- ② Increasing India-US ties and 'Quad' grouping
- ③ India-Pakistan awalay can spill over.

These must be dealt with in a cooperative atmosphere to increase India's effectiveness.

b) Recently, the ~~US~~ US administration announced withdrawal from JCPOA, or the Iran Nuclear Deal, which was agreed to by P5 + Germany and EU. The main reasons cited were:

- ① It does not deal with Iran's missile program
- ② It does not deal with Iran's nuclear programme after 2025.
- ③ Iran did not restricted the work of IAEA supervisor.

Remarks

(1) Iran's role in Syria and Yemen; India and EU expressed disappointment. It will have several emerging complications.

Iran was India's 3rd largest supplier of hydrocarbons in 2017. CATAST sanctions of US will sharply reduce it, for affecting India's energy security.

Iran has recently declared US unreliable and can restart its nuclear program destabilising the middle east.

Oil supply will sharply reduce causing price rise and unpredictable atmosphere, causing inflation.

India is engaging Iran in infrastructure projects like the Chabahar Port and INSTC (North South Corridor) which will improve access to C-Asia. These will be stalled.

Iran is a crucial stakeholder in Afghanistan's stability, which is a key area of interest for India.

US sanctions on Iran is a zero sum game situation for India. If it makes the choice to abandon one party for the other. C. Fair has argued that India can use its historical ties and good relations to act as a mediator and ensure the crisis does not spillover or reach a breaking point.

(Q)

Write note on
India's role in
ASEAN
Foreign policy
Foreign
policy
extent to which official
dominance on
ASEAN

(c)

ASEAN is an economic grouping of S.E. Asian states. Indian relations with ASEAN started from 1990's with the end of Cold War and economic reforms.

Present ties are driven by economics. Bilateral trade is around \$72 bn India

Remarks

is engaged with the RCEP which consists of ASEAN and its FTA partners. India engages in EAS and is an observer of APFC. ASEAN Asean India Action Plan 2016-20 is the guiding document. Tamuk Kaloum, section of INT highway has been linked with ASEAN. Green Fund, Science Fund and a Technology Fund has been established. Recently Space cooperation, ~~and~~ disaster management and mineral exploration has started with RESOURCFSAT and OCFANSAT.

However, it is said to be more show than substance. India prefers bilateral deals and has strong relations with Singapore and Vietnam which is now strategic level. It has not achieved it with ASEAN itself. Even the Trade is declining from \$80bn in 2011 to \$72bn in 2016. China's trade is \$450bn.

Remarks

~~RCEP progress has been delayed and India is accused of being obstructionist. Also, ASEAN is part of China's sphere of influence despite its antagonism, with them part of OBOR and ~~ASI~~ Maritime Silk Route.~~

India must remove stumbling blocks in economic ties, especially RCEP, while increasing cooperation to political and strategic levels, especially regarding Sovereignty, Sea lanes of communication and Terrorism.

⑨

Focus on mitigation & prevention from
mitigation & disaster
Need for India to engage
as per vulnerable
severely hit dev.
Services trade
FTA's