

Roll No. \_\_\_\_\_

Time Allowed: 3 Hrs.

Max. Marks: 250

**Instructions to Candidate**

- Both sections are compulsory.
- Attempt one essay from each section.
- Each essay carries 125 marks.
- Write each essay in about 1000-1200 words.
- After finishing the first essay, attempt the next on a fresh Page.
- Any page left blank in the answer-book must be crossed out clearly.

(Examiner will pay special attention to the candidate's grasp of his/her material, its relevance to the subject chosen, and to his/her ability to think constructively and to present his/her ideas concisely, logically and effectively).

Name Matthews M

Mobile No. \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

Signature MATTHEWS1. Invigilator Signature [Signature]

2. Invigilator Signature \_\_\_\_\_

**Remarks**

**SECTION - A**

1. When we cannot make life better on earth, what is the guarantee that dreaming about flying cars, humanoid robots and populated cities on Mars would make it any better
3. Man's emotions are the slaves to his thoughts, and he is the slave to his emotions
2. The greatest dishonesty is when our insides don't match up with our outsides
4. Winning isn't everything, it's the only thing

**SECTION - B**

1. Privatization is not always the best economic policy
2. Urbanization is not only simply expanding the area of cities
3. Inequality is a poison that is destroying livelihoods, dignity, peace, and amity
4. No matter where you stand politically, it is important that you should participate in the process of politics

## SECTION - A

29/7/19

- When we cannot make life better on earth, what is the guarantee that dreaming about flying cars, humanoid robots and populated cities on Mars would make it any better.

A walk through the streets of Delhi shows us that life is far from perfect. The oppressive climate is made worse by the massive pollution of air, water and land. People walk by unempathetic about inequality, hate religious intolerance or the suffering of fellow humans. At the same time we hear about the arrival of 5G technology, humanoid robots like SOFIA and innovators like Elon Musk who dreams of setting up a habitation on Mars soon. This essay aims to analyse why ~~for~~ life has not become better on earth despite significant human achievements.

good introduction

Advancement of  
Technology

arrival  
of AI

Twenty-First Century has shown the limitless nature of human creativity and potential for technological advancement. Earlier, artificial intelligence used to exist only in the novels of Isaac Asimov and chess softwares. Now it is present in our very pockets, in the form of Alexa, Siri or Google Assistant.

Distance and time has lost meaning because of the revolutions in communications and transport. Social media can connect anybody with everybody. Air travel has facilitated migration and globalization.

We have even conquered the forces of nature. We can control temperature using ACs, turning even the desert-like heat into pleasing coolness. We have tamed destructive rivers using dams and engineered rainfall using dry ice.

Controlled  
temperature

3

And yet, there is a

~~palpable disconnect between human achievements and the simple goal of a better life. Our own dreams have created nightmares. With each solution, we have produced ~~more~~ new problems which are much more dangerous than the original!~~

*Science  
helped  
humans  
have  
better  
life*

Our desire to control ~~temperature~~, using air conditioners and refrigerators have created CFCs, which destroys the ozone layer. Powering our transport using hydrocarbons have released toxic gases like sulphur dioxide, nitrous oxides, carbon monoxide. Industrial revolution using coal has resulted in releasing greenhouse gases, which is estimated to increase global temperatures by 2-3°C. Social media has become a ~~media~~ hub of fake news, hate mongering and

*refrigeration  
heat  
burnt  
gas  
are the main reason  
for  
green house  
gases  
exist*

## Irrationalism

This shows that human dreams are making lives on Earth worse, rather than better. This is threatening all lives, not just humans, including corals, benthic fauna, the megafauna of the savannahs and even insects like bees.

At the same time, it would be foolish to advocate against social change or technological development. They are a part of global evolution. Our dreams of flying cars and cities on Mars show the desire for change. It represents our hope for a better future. It drives innovators and pioneers to find solutions to complex problems and make fiction reality.

The true problem lies within the very models of development and innovation that we have adopted.

Universal egoism has become the dominant behavioural trait of man. We are selfish, self-seeking and egotistical. More worrisome is the fact that egoism is no longer considered a vice, but a virtue.

The implications of this are wide ranging. The idea of the social has degenerated into nothing more than the aggregate of individuals and families. Therefore, even if innovations like humanoid robots or cities on Mars benefit only a microscopic minority of the population, it is considered acceptable.

These social ~~degeneration~~  
has expanded to the national

Exploration  
in Space or  
Mars is  
the purpose of  
entire  
mankind  
If it is  
a colony on  
Mars then  
it is for  
certain people

9

sphere, where we now believe  
the moral boundaries coincide  
with national boundaries.  
*migration is  
a big problem  
still they have  
to be addressed  
early*

This is clearly visible in the  
sad plight of migrants and  
refugees, ranging from ~~the~~ Mexicans at the US border or  
the Rohingya in Bangladesh.

*The Rohingya  
problem can be  
taken up by  
Bangladesh,  
Myanmar  
India collectively*

~~Poverty~~

This promotes various "divides" among within humanity like the digital divide, rural-urban divide, gender divide, etc. Administrators seem to believe they are inevitable. A perverse system of incentives promote these divides, which is seen in governments promoting cutting edge digital revolutions like 5G while more than 25% of global population live below poverty line.

The leaders of our society has also refused to even recognise

~~the problem, as seen in the case of climate change and the USA. Even when there is recognition, there is no consensus on how to solve them. There is constant shifting of blame, as seen in the failure of aid mechanisms in the Paris Agreement.~~

~~The end result is the democratisation of only the global problems and not the benefits. The Vulnerable sections of the population who are most affected by natural calamities are the ones who are least benefited by the technological dreams of innovators.~~

~~The way forward is difficult. It would require a paradigm shift in thought and action from the top levels of the political hierarchy to the grass roots level.~~

Firstly, making 'lives' better must be defined holistically.

~~life is more than material satisfaction~~. As ~~Abraham Maslow~~ argued, we must move up the ~~'hierarchy of needs'~~, from basic sustenance to ~~self-actualization~~. This includes various elements like ~~dignity, satisfaction~~ and the absence of alienation. Such a view would eliminate unending debates like 'development vs environment', ~~nationalism etc.~~

*broader perspective  
on issues is necessary  
development is necessary  
view of environment is necessary*

We must accept that globalization has eroded the Westphalian ideal of sovereign nationhood which stops at territorial boundaries. The world is now a ~~'Global Village'~~, where even problems in rural Afghanistan can affect Americans half the world away, as seen in the

*market  
are interdependent  
N, the effects  
of countries will react on other countries*

9/11 attacks, Refugee crisis,  
poverty, hunger and inequality  
will affect the entire world  
system and hence needs global  
efforts to combat them.

The definition of life  
must also transcend human  
realm. From the bees to the birds;  
humans to lions, frogs to whales  
nature does not discriminate.  
When any floral or faunal  
community is affected, it is  
a warning to the human race  
who essentially ~~stains~~ rules the  
world at present. It shows  
our development trajectory is  
unsustainable and it needs to  
change.

This must be  
supplemented by capacity building  
at state level and individual  
levels. Individuals must be  
given effectively equal basic  
liberties and a fair equality  
of opportunity. The state must

*good  
idea*

ensure effective distribution of all social primary goods and services. This will bridge the divides, which will unite humanity to fight global challenges together.

*good  
idea*

Most importantly, the system of incentives for innovation must change. As John Rawls writes in 'Justice as Fairness', inequalities must be allowed only if it gives maximum benefit to the least privileged. This will force innovation to become relevant and sustainable. For example, instead of flying cars we would imagine ways to make urban transport fast, non-polluting and accessible.

*excellent  
suggestion*

Therefore, believing that we cannot change life on earth or that utopian dreams like flying

~~care~~ are meaningless, would be  
~~indeed~~ ~~vainly~~ pessimistic and  
~~unend~~ flying. Rather than giving  
up on dreams, we must dream  
more. But, the dreams must  
be for the better life of all.  
It is time to take responsibility  
and believe that every problem  
can be solved; it is just a matter  
of time and energy. After all,  
reality is what we make of it.

Very good

- continuity will maintained
- intent is good
- good example

60

still need to work on ~~work system~~.

- nepotism
- unequal opportunity
- unfairness in people
- army rule
- corrupt friends
- corrupt politicians and employers/officials

## SECTION-B

### 3. INEQUALITY IS A POISON THAT IS DESTROYING LIVELIHOODS, DIGNITY, PEACE AND AMITY

Ronald Dworkin writes in 'Sovereign Virtue' that "Equality as a principle has been abandoned by contemporary thinkers, even the socialists, in its true sense."

This is despite the fact that equality is a central principle of most political constitutions ranging from American to Indian.

This essay aims to analyse why inequality is a poison destroying the society, the reasons for its persistence and the measures needed to remedy the situation.

On 1st Jan 2015, Oxfam International declared that 1% of the world's population owns 99% of all the wealth. In India, inequality has been growing at 3% per year.

~~a breakneck pace. The Gini coefficient used to measure income inequality in India was 0.48 in 1990, grew to 0.72 in 2017. This is proving to be a poison with effects at an individual, societal/national and even global levels.~~

~~Its destructive impact is most visible in the case of LIVELHOODS. Ranganajan committee estimated that in India alone there existed more than 250 million people living below poverty. This figure crosses one billion mark at a global scale. Lack of a sustainable livelihood deprives them of good education and medical facilities. This traps them in a VICIOUS CYCLE; propagating inequality.~~

~~poor  
statistics  
related to  
India~~

~~BPL  
250 million~~

~~tribal areas  
in any state  
are not  
equipped with  
good infrastruc-  
ture  
and the  
conse-~~

~~It~~ Inequality destroys ~~DIGNITY~~ of an individual.

When media flashes images of the extravagant lives of celebrities and businessmen, everyday, the ordinary man defines his standards of success based on them. Inequality of skills, opportunities and circumstances ensure they never reach such standards, perpetuating a low sense of self-worth and poor self-confidence.

At a personal level, the story of Indian women is a case in point. Social inequality condemns most to a life of subordination - first under the father, then the husband and then her sons. Their dreams are readily sacrificed for the others. Almost every major religion in the world ascribes an inferior role to women with multiple disabilities. As a result their low dignity prevents them from reaching their true

Indian woman was never given equal status with men

potential.

Inequality is antithetical to peace. Aristotle writes in 'Politics' that, in order to preserve harmony, the statesman must prevent the entry of both extravagance and poverty into a democracy. Almost every peasant and tribal movement in Indian history are rooted in inequality, with consequent social unrest, dissatisfaction, and violence. The Naxalite movement which still plagues India, is a case in point.

Moreover, if inequality is an enemy of AMITY, or in an atmosphere of gross inequality, seeds of true

inequality  
in society is  
due to various  
factors -  
level of education,  
geographical  
factors,  
various  
opportunities  
also depends  
on people's  
initiative

5

friendship and fraternity cannot develop. These are in turn the basic ingredients for a society. In the absence of amity, social relations degenerate into transactional relations. This alienates every man, if not just from the society, but also from himself because gregariousness is a natural state of mankind.

Despite the common knowledge ~~that~~ of the evils of inequality, it has persisted and perpetrated. Its reasons are multidimensional and highly complex.

Robert Nozick writes in 'Anarchy, State and Utopia' that there is a natural inequality among men. There will be differences in the marketable skills with each person, which results in

*good  
natural  
inequality  
person  
skills*

different levels of achievement.  
For example in Sparta, physically strong men and soldiers were valued more than poets.

However, on deeper analysis, natural inequality forms only a fraction of the evils due to inequality. Major part of it stems from MAN-MADE sources.

Social inequality forms the most multifaceted of them. Society divides itself on the basis of religion, race, caste and class. It is considered the most evil and unjust because its basis is solely on transcendental sources. Emphasis is on birth, rather than deed.

Leaders like Ambedkar and Periyar spent their lives fighting social inequality, which still had only meagre successes in

natural  
inequality

most  
inequality  
religion  
race &  
caste

good  
example

~~the form of legal enactments:  
Its contemporary version  
is seen with the rise of the  
Hindutva, which emphasises on  
the inequality of religions. Its  
religious bias is clearly seen in the  
increasing cases of cow vigilantism,  
mob lynching, electoral  
polarisation, hate speech and  
intolerance.~~

Economic inequality  
is unique in its difficulty  
to resolve. David Hume  
declared equality as the  
philosophy of poverty because  
it discourages innovation,  
creativity, hard work and  
entrepreneurship - all essential  
elements of economic growth.  
The recent trend of liberalisation,  
privatisation and globalisation  
has resulted in the rolling-  
back of the state, deepening  
the economic divide.

Some factors

CPB  
reports  
brought  
back by  
many  
but never  
not very meaningful

Political Inequality is argued by many to be a thing of the past. Political equality is present only in procedure, but not in substance. Politics in democracies are arenas where all other inequalities manifest. For example, consider the fact that 83% of MPs in the 17th Lok Sabha are crorepatis while 99% of Indian population earn less than 8 lakh Rs. This shows the role of economic inequality. Moreover, Tocqueville called democracy:

Moreover, A lens de Tocqueville called democracy the 'tyranny of the majority' because it results in the rule of the social majority. In cases like Rohingya crisis, even procedural political equality has been denied.

Political  
Inequality

Financial  
Inequality

Migration  
problem

*biological  
differences from  
men and women, and  
men being  
Linnier -  
took advantage  
and the result  
was Patriarchy  
nobody lost  
dominance  
men folk  
continued the  
dominance*

Sexual inequality is unique by its subtle and consensual nature. Cultural differences between men and women are designed to ensure the subordination of women to men. It manifests itself in the form of Patriarchy, "glass ceiling" in corporate wages, etc. Recent movements like Purple movement, Nirbhaya, etc are different manifestations of it.

Inequality has no easy solution due to its ubiquity and multi-dimensionality. Since it is a multi-dimensional problem, it will need a multi-dimensional solutions.

*good suggestion*  
Political, legal and administrative mechanisms must move from 'Equality of persons' to 'Equality of opportunities, conditions and outcomes'. True equality is not merely an open road, but an equal start. Eliminating

corruption, free legal aid, and transparent functioning of the judiciary will ensure this.

John Rawls calls for a fair chance for all to access 'social primary goods', for which the ~~state, welfare~~ state must play a central role. Progressive taxation, universal and free education and medical facilities will erode the foundation of economic inequality.

Inequality in one sphere must never be allowed to affect another. For example, economic power must not be allowed to capture political power, or vice versa. Innovative mechanisms like state funding of elections, limits on election expenditure, etc will be progressive.

However, quest for equality must not result in the \*slavery of the talented.

free and  
good education  
& medical  
facilities  
will reduce  
economic  
inequality

inequality  
one area will  
definitely  
affect the  
other area

Equality recognises the special recognition for both need and excellence. Only inequalities which work to the benefit of the worst-off must be allowed.

Thus, it is evident that inequality is a bane to the society. Thomas Picketty warns in 'Capital in the 21<sup>st</sup> century' that the growing inequality is taking us to the 'Second Gilded Age', with harmful social implications.

With strong we cannot allow that to happen. With strong political leadership and engaging the thought-leaders in the society, inequality can be daren back.

*Relative  
margin  
in quality  
remains  
same,  
but  
Society will  
not be  
at high levels*

- Continuity could not be maintained at some places
- Content is good
- Examples are good, but statistics may not be correct

Inequality in :-	cost system	due to politicians	due to natural resources
- regionalism	- due to geographical	- due to economy	
- inequality due to corruption,	- environmental	- availability of technology	